DOCUMENT RESURE

ED 098 450 CG 009 122

AUTHOR TITLE Adams, J. Winstead

A Philosophy of "Psychotherapy" with the Drug

Dependent Person: Six Basic Imperatives.

PUB DATE

NOTE

19p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Personnel and Guidance Association (New Orleans, Louisiana, April 1974); Not available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of original

document

Apr 74

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS HF-\$0.75 HC Not Available from EDRS. PLUS POSTAGE *Behavior Change; *Change Agents; Communication

(Thought Transfer); *Drug Abuse; Program

Descriptions; Psychological Services; *Psychotherapy;

*Rehabilitation Counseling; Socially Deviant

Behavior: Speeches

ABSTRACT

The drug abuser presents many difficult clinical problems to the conscientious therapist. Many of the prerequisites to human relationships associated with responsible, satisfying living are difficult to develop and must be nurtured in such a person. The author presents a philosophy of psychotherapy to serve as a guideline for therapists working with individuals who abuse drugs. An alternative to drug taking and the style of life that goes with it must be offered by the philosophy of thearpy. In such a philosophy there are six basic imperatives which are discussed and described. (Author)



600

ERIC

.... Instructor in ray

Associate Director - Jefferson Hospital Day Treatment Drug Program

Best Copy Available

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

.. PHILOSOPHY OF "PSYCHOTHERAPY" WITH THE DRUG DEPENDENT PERSON:
SIX BASIC IMPERATIVES

serione one is able to talk about psychotherapy with the drug person in more than general terms, it is necessary that and drug dependent person is and how he views help at the time for treatment. To begin with he is someone who has taken . Chambal substance into his system by shooting it into his veins, to the it, snorting it, smoking it and any or all combinations and he has done period of weeks to a period of years. Not only has this . Judama psychologically dependent on the drug but if he has been ... toka, methadone or any of the barbiturates as the preferred . Louise, his body needs it to maintain its metabolic and psystologic To abruptly discontinue its use under those circumstances wouldly mean severe physical distress, and in the case of In other words to the onset of convulsions and death. Junctioning that person's body has developed a tolerance los s of arags which would be lethal to all non-drug dependent persons.

I am also talking about someone who has undergone a departure,

often times a radical departure, from his life style and its value system prior to his involvement with drugs. In order to maintain his habit he has lied, cheated, conned, stolen from friends and family, mustled his body heterosexually and homosexually, and if in hime need and in danger of the onset of his "Jones" (withdrawal symptoms) and may have committed crimes of violence against others, purse snatching, muggings, highway robbery, assault and battery, etc.

Further this person has probably himself faced death through an accidental drug overdose one to a dozen times; or helplessly ravaged by his habit, and at its mercy, unable to see a different future for himself, he may have deliberately injected himself with an overdose; or see may have been in the company of someone else who did in fact die from an overdose and he may have let that person die because the priority to him was his getting his fix.

program, he says he wants help. And he is likeable and charming, a great many drug addicts and drug dependent people really are, and they have learned how to use their charm, and he really does at that moment in his terms want help. The problem is that despite his being able to mouth and the right words about how and in what way he needs help and needs to change, at rock bottom his concept of help is very different from yours. He may or may not know consciously that he wants to get involved in some drug program in order to buy time to get his habit down so it will be hess expensive, or to satisfy the conditions of his probation, or to get his parents off of his back, or to deal dope. He may even honestly believe



ن

in which he says that he is tired of the life he has been living and which to clean up and change his life's direction. But what anybody substant with or who anticipates working with drug dependent people the and hard core heroin user to the upper middle class speed from to know is that at base, at the very center of the person at the Line they make that initial request for help to get off drugs, they have not given up hope of some day sooner or later getting high aguan, the unconscious fantasy being (and often not so unconscious) and as a later date they can handle drugs more responsibly. It is wanting to the fantasy of many non-drinking or recovered alcoholics that one day they will learn to become a social drinker. And when one and the shout it rationally, the idea of getting high can be uppreciated. Not only is it an effective temporary escape from the millimess of ones reality if one lives in a rat and roach infested granue, and an effective means of buoying up and enchancing ones feelings and the self creating a sense of more confidence and increased self Library Li these are personality deficits, but getting high is also yeary Manuant. It just plain feels good. And if the drug taker has taken ways for so long that they have become an effective substitute for You his less effective psychological and emotional coping mechanisms, to all the drug taker began taking drugs at a time in his life during his valuable adolescent years thereby disallowing the development and simenating of his inner resources and defenses, and therefore can Enroly depend on anything but drugs, then what are the viable alternatives?

Traditional psychotherapy by its very process promotes stress and relies on the mobilization of anxiety to produce change. This in itself

ERIC

is contrary to the dynamics of the addict. His goals are to run from stress, and he has not developed the ability to tolerate anxiety much less to convert it into a positive life force for himself. So obviously I am not talking about the concept of traditional psychoanalytically oriented psychotherapy in the practice of which the therapist relies maninly on the technique of interpretation to aid the patient in gaining insight into unconcious mental phenomena, although with some drug dependent persons these principles do have a legitimate place in the treatment process. But in the beginning of treatment and very often throughout, therapy needs to be approached from the point of view of a different mental health model. Working with the drug dependent person — and that is how it should be conceptualized by the way, working with and not treatment of — inworking with the drug dependent person I don't view therapy as being as much of a process at it is rather a philosophy.

must offer a viable alternative to drug taking, which means that psychotherapy must provide an alternative to a style of life. Drug dependent people, especially young people who are actively into drugs, form a very special sub-culture. Without going into the political and idealogical tenets of that sub-culture, suffice it to say that, it provides them with something to do, an occupation, an identity and a status. The complaint and, therefore, the resistance that the older addict and the younger drug dependent person have to the notion of giving up drugs is "if I did, what would I do and what would I be". So, an alternative to drug taking and the style of life that goes with it must be offered by and built into a philosophy of therapy in working with the drug dependent



ו

purson. Inherent in such a philosophy are what I term Six Basic Imparatives: Therapy must be 1. Confrontive, 2. Prohibitive, 3. Active, ... Achieve, 5. Repairative and 6. Manipulative.

TTO BE WILLIAM

Danne Therapeutically confrontive in Group Psychotherapy often means while things to different people. To some therapists it is an upper middlition of the voice - yelling and screaming at someone else - gutting the angula, atc. Although loud voices accompanied by pointing acculatory mangure have a place, it is methodological - a technique of contrived William Viewed as such, it can be used quite effectively by those who and the personality to make it appear real in conveying to the highly in a constantly testing addict that the therapist can acream lumber than the addict and is, therefore, in the addict's perception the the the the control than the the the the the the is capable of setting limits and controls and that he is strong The same and he trusted with his dependency on the therapist. To many addicts happen before he will share with the therapist and the group the colony which are at the very center of him, his fragility, feer, 12,, and loneliness, his vulnerability and his craziness. There and declipions when the therapist must literally overpower the personality the country with his own personality, however this is not what is meant by boding confrontive in therapy.

Let me say what it is, it is the confrontation of faulty character configuration. Usually the drug dependent person has erected a whole network to the drug defenses even before his involvement in drugs, which have



been re-inforced and amplified upon due to the need for survival in the drug sub-culture. These character defenses are numerous and range from insincerity to ruthlessness, from passivity to verbal grandicalty, from chameleon adaptiveness to near psychotic withdrawal. Such defenses must be confronted in therapy if the drug dependent person as to confront them in himself and begin to experience more honest interpersonal relationships, but they must be confronted within the emotional context of the therapeutic relationship.

The essence or "the soul" of any therapy as the relationship between the therapist and the person seeking help. It is the relationship which largely determines the direction in which therapy develops, whether it is talked about in terms of transference - counter transference, or the flow of positive - negative feelings, or love - hate feelings or tablivalences existing between therapist and patient. Generally, the faullings and defenses of the common everyday garden variety neurotic are used in the service of developing the transference or the therapeutic relationship in such a way that therapy proceeds. But, the drug dependent person enters into therapy with an entirely different "set". His previous intimate relationships have been based on dishonesty, trickery, scheming and connivance. What he gives of himself, he expects in return. His "trust factor" is indeed very low on the transaction scale of human relationships. The drug dependent person's feelings and defenses are used by him, consciously and unconsciously, to block the formation of a relationship which may lay the groundwork upon which therapeutic endeavors can be based. These are the defenses which keep the drug dependent person



encapsulated and insulated, cut off from his feelings of affection, tenderness, compassion, sympathy, Ir , anger, etc., and therefore, removed and estranged from the humanness of others. These are the defenses which must come under confrontation thereby exposing the daug dependent person to his own humanness. But these defenses cannot be confronted directly vis a vis interpretation, challenging or pointing out because the addict has not arrived at a point in his emotional and maturational development where he can benefit by such an approach. Such an approach will be felt as an assault upon the ego and will serve one of three purposes. At strengthening the defense, 2, having no effect at all, and 3, in the case of borderline patients, precipatating aggression towards others, towards the self or psychosis.

with the great majority of drug addicts we are dealing with psychopathy; i.e. impaired super-ego functioning and virtually no observing ago. We are also dealing with individuals who suffer from narcissistic disorders. For the group therapist this means that if he is going to be execusablely used as a vehicle for change, he must in someway appear to have persons as being like them, or like that part of themselves to which the need for change is directed. In other words for the therapist working with the patient in that category of pathology just described, in order to be received by the patient in a way that will promote change, the therapist must align himself with that very pathology. By so doing, the therapist, by the skillful use of the appropriate techniques (which time does not permit discussion of here) is then able to move the addict to confront his own pathological defenses as they appear in the person of



Ġ

A brief example will demonstrate:

A sexually mixed addict group early in treatment was discussing their need for self protection in the street. The majority of them admitted to carrying weapons of some kind, guns, knives, ice-picks etc., with only the members having a dissenting opinion as to this type of need for self protection; one of the dissenters feeling that a real man - "a dude with balls" could take care of himself with out "heat" (gun). Rather than interpret this material as being in someway related to their deep cance of weakness, inadequacy, or fear of the hostile world, the therapist "joined" the pathological defense and told the group that he too felt at was a good idea for them to protect themselves by carrying a weapon. As a matter of fact that living the life they choose to live, they ought to at least carry two guns whenever they stepped foot outside. The therapist further offered that he had information about a local pawn shop where they could get under the counter "heat" cheap with no questions auxea. Except for a few "right on's" and raised clenched fists, the sign for unity, and some raised eyebrows, there was no particular reaction to this statement.

For the next 5 or 6 sessions the therapist used every opportunity to "join" and align himself with the groups criminal and anti-social attitudes. Once even taking the inititive in ridiculing a group member who recently had been caught while burglarizing a physicians office for drugs. The drugs him that he wasn't even a good thief and instructing him



the to how he could have successfully gone about it. At the following they session this same member attacked the therapist, saying that the therapist wasn't doing his job. That he was instead encouraging them to be those fiends and criminals and was not helping them. Other members of the group responded that they had been feeling the same way and wondered where the therapist was coming from. The therapist asked for their information as to what he was doing that was wrong and what was in that he should be foing. Various members then began to respond and to toll the therapist what he should have said to themselves or to some other group member to be more helpful instead of what he did say. One angry member told the group that if they listened to the therapist much longer they were all going to wind up in jail, so they had better listen to himself instead.

As the group attacked and confronted their own pathological defenses and attitudes in the person of the therapist, they could then move to defining what their needs were. As they gradually did this, the therapist just as gradually shifted his position to correspondingly meet their own expressed needs to move in the direction of maturation and pollitive change. In this way the therapist was also building super-ego into the group rather than himself existing as the super-ego.

PROPERTURE

The next imperative that needs to characterize a philosophy of the prohibitive. Put simply, certain behaviors need to be prohibited from the outset. Obiviously, the first prohibition



work in therapy with someone who is high on drugs. Under such conditions the individuals mood and affect are altered to an extent that mitigates are forming a real therapeutic alliance with the therapist and other group members.

In the group we are attempting to develop a network of meaningful The group provides the addict with the opportunity to communication. Learn how to match their feelings and thoughts with the words that express They begin to do this by learning how to find the words which accurately express what they think and how they feel about others and about themselves within the arena of the group. Therefore certain materials and content must be prohibited from becoming a part of therapy sessions. Except in the initial stages of the therapy group when cohesion must develop, "needle and pill stories" are of little therapeutic value and in fact, can play subterfuge with the purpose and the goals of therapy. It is not uncommon in group therapy for one person to literally "turn on" conduction members of the group and himself by recounting fascinating exploits of drug taking and related episodes. The story teller and the listeners who apportante their own experiences with what is being stated often receive a vicurious "high" or "rush" which is real and very akin to the affect of a drug experience. Having been exposed to this kind of "verbal tripping" in a therapy group, drug addicts who have been clean for months have been known to leave the session in search of the nearest "cop man" (drug supplies) in order to shoot dope.

YOUTH'S

Baing active is a third imperative. The essential idea is that the



therapist acts, reacts, or interacts with the Addict Group as someone who is himself real. Because of his life style and the need for his survival in it, the "junkie" often developes an acute sensitivity and perceptiveness to others which at times borders on paranois (there is plears a kernel of truth in the paranoid persons ideations by the way). ... looks to spot quickly the weaknesses, shortcomings and inconsistencies in the therapist. If the therapist cannot be active in his relationship which the addict, cannot react to and interact with group members as one Haman being to another, and must hide behind his "role" as "therapist" ... hardver that means to him), he will quickly be labeled as a "lame" and a "phony" and regarded with contempt by the addict. The addict perceives his own position with the therapist as a lowly one from which that's can never be a recovery. He sees the therapist as someone from wat... he can never gain respect. At the same time he feels that respect and one who must hide, cannot be real and respect from him is worth

Being active in group therapy with the addict means interacting on a genuine feeling level. The therapist's responses must be on more than a cognitive level. For example, "I have very bad feelings about what you that, it really made me angry", instead of "I must question whether that have an appropriate thing for you to have done". This also means that there are times when the therapist might, within limits, share with the addict group some personal problem of his own such as being depressed over the loss of a friend or relative, or being upset and aggravated follows. An argument with his spouse. This kind of sharing not only



فرند د

humanizes the relationship, but may create for an excellent opportunity to provide an object lesson for the drug user. This is especially true if the therapist can critically and undefensively evaluate his own because and the part he played in the problem he has shared.

The second secon

Fourth, therapy must be relative, geared to where the drug user is in the cycle of recovery from drug dependency. It is not a contradiction of what I have previously stated about prohibiting drug use during a course of therapy to now state that during the drug abusers early efforts to "clean up" from drugs, chances are that he will use again. Because of the almost magical and transforming powers that drugs have to the drug dependent person he is almost sure to have at least one and probably Jupated relapses. Therapeutic goals with the drug dependent person must se counter-balanced by what one can realistically expect considering the initialishess of the drug taking compulsion. If the drug taker has been in thereby for a period of 4 months and has remained clean during that The only to suddenly and seemingly without explanation begins to use drugs which, this should not be considered a failure. Rather it should be viewed as assistantian back to a former and more comfortable method of coping. One magnet even go so far as to say that progress in therapy with the drug dependent person can be measured by his increased ability to tolerate longer and longer periods of drug abstinence and by shorter periods of time in betheen of drug usage.

Krib W. San AE

therapy must be repairative. People cannot fundamentally



grow and change beyond the image they have of themselves as people. This is even more so with the drug dependent person who is labeled as "junkie" by society, but also, he is the first to label other drug abusers as "junkie" and thus himself.

Therapy with the drug dependent person must be repairative in a way that it includes but must also be more than the concept of "a corrective emotional experience" which most therapists describe to mean the relationunip aspects of the therapeutic process between patient and therapist. with the drug dependent person, therapy must provide him with somewhing 20 20, it must offer new experiences far removed from the drug substitute grow which he came that will provide him with a new and different way of viewing himself. This might include ongoing experiences in music appraci-Lilon, adventures into literature, theatre and drama; film-making and art. Li might include such things as camping, hiking, canceing, mountain classing, karate, yoga, transcendental meditation or any such experience while help that person begin to experience life and himself in re-______ to life in a new and different way. In this sense then, therapy and address itself to the development and building of other roles in ---- that the person can find meaning and expression in, broadening and - his dimensions and relatedness to himself and to others. anoula hold out the opportunity for the drug dependent person to be able to optimistically see himself operating in the role of student, husband, father, writer, reader of books, or any combination of hundreds of roles that he can operate in.

15 ... important to understand that the drug dependent person basically



himself as a damaged person. He has acted out destructively against himself, masochistically abusing his body by sticking needles into his arms and ingesting harmful chemicals and poisons. His mind and his wellicy to think may be adversely affected, perhaps permanently, not to mention the numerous other ways in which he has ill-treated himself while living the life of a "junkie". Why then should he not see himself as damaged? He is: It is this sense of damage of mind, soul, and body that therapy must seek to heal, nurture, and repair. Therapy must help restore the junkie's integrity and image of himself as a decent, worth-while human being.

This cannot be done through excessive verbal gymnastics alone, no matter how skilled the therapist or well meaning his intent. The drug dependent person, the addict, the "junkie", as he has experienced his adjunction, the road to his salvation must be experiential. He must have must be an active participant in the recovery of his own life. He has seen and suffered his own failures so must he see and feel his can successes and begin to build upon them. But the opportunity to have then must be provided for him through a carefully thought out program of "therapy", i.e. role and skill development in addition to talk therapy. Due most importantly, he must be exposed to things and doing things which permaps were never previously within the realm or context of his knowledge of exhaustice.

convey the problems with many drug programs, and/or therapeutic convey that I personally know about, is that somehow the message is convey to the recipients of these programs that they can never be any-



thing else, "once an addict, always an addict". Many of these programs are devised and designed to keep the addict dependent upon the program Lac say to him "that it is only through us that you can hope to remain file of drugs". It is unfortunate, for these programs and the people ... that there is an overemphasis on the "bad" and the unhealthy and and the strangths and the strangths the people in the program. It seems as if the programs subsist and and subsidized by the recovering addict's constantly kept alive fear that if he ever leaves the program he will surely use drugs again. In fact, wany people who do leave the program under these circumstances do use cauge coals and often they use on the day of departure! There are a variety of deputes which, perhaps explain this condition but that is another discusgion. One comment however; it is is curious that most of the people who complete a period of treatment at one of these therapeutic communities paired introduce themselves to others (or are introduced by someone else nom what program) as an ex-drug addict. They do not say one word more digital themselves as human beings. This strongly suggests that even after a pasion of "treatment", these individual's "claim to fame", their identity, continues to be associated with addiction to drugs.

WIND TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE THE TOTAL THE TOT

Capacity, in entering into a therapeutic relationship with the drug capacity in the drug capacity in the drug must accept the fact that he mimself must be a consider that he mimself not out to negative. This notion probably stirs some uncomfortable, if not out to negative feelings, since many therapists don't like to consider that the many as a manipulative. Instead they prefer to think of themselves as the patient to "work through" or to "resolve" their conflicts.



Whatever semantic maze one travels through, when therapist and patient agree to work together in therapy, it is the therapist's skill of playing brinkmanship and remaining "one up" on the patient that counts.

There are obviously negative and positive uses of manipulation in the interest the patient has been one of the tools of the trade. Some therapist in their work still rely fairly exclusively on manipulation of the invironment as an effective means of helping others.

when working with drug abusers the therapist takes a position on the issue of drug abuse and pits his and society's value system against the value system of the drug abuser, based on the conviction that a drug free life is better than drug reliance. Since there is no absolute empirical proof that this belief is true, the therapist is attempting to manipulate the patient into replacing one value system for another. I am convinced that when patients generally initially start to get better they do so in the beginning more out of their need for approval and love from the therapist, and not because they are tired of their neurotic suffering. It has only later after they have realized some satisfaction through the experience of managing their lives differently that they begin to change for themselves.

The drug user presents many difficult problems to the conscientious therapist. Many of the prerequisites to real, human relationships and responsible, satisfying living are difficult to gain access to and must be numbered in this person.



1/

Thave tried to present what I consider to be a philosophy of psychotherapy to serve as a guideline for therapists working with individuals who abuse drugs. Obviously, there are other considerations in addition to the six imperatives which I have not discussed. For example, working with the drug abuser and his family in Family Therapy what be given top priority. In addition if one considers himself readily involved in dealing with the problem of drug abuse, he must be involved in social action, leading to positive social change. Both of these subjects are important topics requiring serious and lengthy discussion under their own headings.



LIST OF REFERENCES

- Erikson, Erik. Childhood and Society, New York: Norton, 1963
 Little, Margaret. "Transferance in Borderline States", International
 Journal of Psychoanalysis, (1966) 47, 476
- Love, Sidney and Herta Mayer. "Going Along With Defenses in Resistive Families", Social Casework, Feb, 1959
- Nelson, Marie Coleman, et al. Roles and Paradigms in Psychotherapy,
 New York: Grune and Stratton, 1968
- Strean, Kerbert S. The Experience of Psychotherapy, New Jersey:

 Scarecrow Press, 1973
- Winnicott, D.W.. The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating

 Environment, New York: International Universities Press, 1985

